

Optimizing Space Communications using Deep Learning

Brianna I. Robertson (Presenter), Aaron Smith June 21st, 2021 NASA Glenn Research Center

Glenn Research Center

Introduction: End-to-end Communication Systems

Introduction: Autoencoders

• Best practices in training communications autoencoders are not finalized

Objective

Improve modulation and coding solutions produced by deep neural networks

 \triangleright Constraining power through normalization

^ØEnhancing training configurations using non- additive white Gaussian noise solutions

 \triangleright Implementing gray-coding schemes for optimizing bit-vector placements

Important Metrics to Consider

- Symbol and Bit Error Rates (SER/BER)
	- Number of symbols/bits misclassified versus total transmitted
- Constellation Figure of Merit (CFM)
	- Euclidean distance between closest symbols

Power **Constraint** through Normalization

Optimizing Space Communications using Deep CCAA Workshop 2021 CCAA Morkshop 2021

Importance of Normalization Layer

- Normalizing the output of the transmitter
	- Acts as an output energy constraint
- Encourage optimization of the available symbol space
- Two types of constraints:
	- Soft constraints, where the average power of total transmitter symbols must be below a threshold
	- Hard constraints, where all transmitted symbols must be below a threshold

Optimizing Space Communications using Deep
Learning ل المستخدم ا
2. تحصيل المستخدم ا

Types of Normalization Layers

Normalization Results

- 1. Average Norm $\mathbb{E}[|x_i|] \leq 1 \forall i$
- 2. Max Norm $|x_i| \leq 1 \,\forall i$
- 3. Linear Norm $|x_i|$ ar g max $(\vert x_i \vert$ $\forall i$
- 4. Saleh Norm $w = \frac{\alpha}{1 + \beta * |x_i|}$ 2 \Rightarrow w * x_i

9

5. Constrained Batch Normalization

• 16-APSK-like SER performance as a function of Eb/No formation, with four and five inner points formations

Constrained Batch Normalization

- Optimizes the use of internal unit circle space to achieve higher CFM values
- Solutions resemble circle-packing theory

Normalization Summary

• CBN outperforms the other hard constraint layers, navigating to lower SER and higher CFM values

Sand Noise as a **Training** Enhancement

Optimizing Space Communications using Deep CCAA Workshop 2021 **13**
Learning Upon Learning Learning

Sand Noise as a Training Enhancement

- Suggested by researchers at MIT for decision boundaries to "train harder"
- Sampled transmitter output with a sample drawn from a random 2-D distribution

Zheng, L., "Using Neural Networks in Communications Problems – Theory and Examples," Globecom Keynote,

December 10, 2019. (unpublished)

Results/Conclusions

- As a standalone layer
- As a noise enhancer
	- A 60% reduction in average SER value across 10 trials for both 5% and 10% sand cases was observed, with a better preforming constellation

 1.0

 -1.0

 -0.5

 0.0

 0.5

Optimizing Space Communications using Deep

Learning

Learning CCAA Workshop 2021 **15**
Learning Learning Learning Space Communications asing Deep

 0.0

 -1.0

 -0.5

 0.5

 1.0

 -1.0

 -0.5

 0.0

 0.5

 1.0

One-Hot versus Bit-Vector Encoding

Optimizing Space Communications using Deep CCAA Workshop 2021 **Example 2021** CCAA Workshop 2021 **16**

One-Hot versus Bit-Vector Encoding

- Introduced a custom loss function
	- Exponentially increase loss per bit flip
- Encourage autoencoder to strategically place bit vectors to minimize bit error

Results

- A "Splitting Effect" was achieved over the course of training
- Moves towards the idea of gray-coding solutions

Conclusions

- Constrained batch normalization outperformed other hard constraining methods through optimization of the latent space
- Sand interjection did not improve constellation formation for greater modulation orders
- A splitting effect indicated error-reducing bit vector placements in latent space

Acknowledgments

- Cognitive Signal Processing Branch at Glenn Research Center
- Lewis' Educational and Research Collaborative Internship Project (LERCIP) Program