

Wenkai Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Alireza S. Behbahani<sup>1</sup>, and Ahmed M. Eltawil<sup>2</sup>

1Department of EECS, University of California, Irvine, USA

UCIrvine Suniversity

2King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal, Saudi Arabia



# $\circ$  Introduction

 $|UCIrvine|^\textrm{\tiny UNIVERSITY}}_{\textrm{\tiny OF CALIFORNIA}}$ 

 $\circ$  Two different route weights and results

 $\circ$  Comparison of different weights

o Future work



UCIrvine Suniversity

Inspired by the swarming behaviors of animals in nature [1]

The swarm-based satellite system:

Many pico-class, low-power, and low-weight satellite units working together for space exploration tasks [2][3].



The Master CubeSat can sense the changes about the internal and external environment of the CubeSat swarm, proactively regulates and optimizes the communication network employing the adjustable inter-satellite routing decisions for the CubeSat swarm





UCIrvine Suniversity

Choose the route between CubeSat i to CubeSat j based on the different route weight metric



UCIrvine Suniversity

# Only consider transmission power

Slave CubeSat transmission power 1 W Master CubeSat transmission power 5 W UCIrvine SUNIVERSITY



 $\eta^k_{i,j}$ : The energy efficiency of the inter-satellite link between  $U_i$  and  $U_j$  of  $k^{th}$  data flow

- $R_{i,j}^k$ : The throughput of satellite  $U_i$  to  $U_j$  of  $k^{\textit{th}}$  data flow
- $P_{i,j}^k$  : The transmit power of satellite  $U_i$  to  $U_j$  of  $k^{th}$  data flow

The threshold is about 526km. Less than 526km, we choose 353THz as our operation frequency. Larger than 526km, we choose 60GHz as our operation frequency.





$$
\eta_{i,j} = \frac{R_{i,j}}{P_{i,j}} = \frac{\frac{G_{T}G_{R}P_{i,j}L_{i,j}}{K_{S}T_{S}(E_{b}/N_{0})}}{P_{i,j}} = \frac{G_{T}G_{R}L_{i,j}}{K_{S}T_{S}(E_{b}/N_{0})}
$$

The transmission power do not affect energy efficiency

# Consider CubeSat swarm in a plane



Two Examples: Euclidean Distance scale  $1:10^5$  (the same as follows) M: represent master CubeSat S1: represent the #1 slave CubeSat

![](_page_7_Picture_3.jpeg)

UCIrvine Suniversity

We want to find the route from satellite  $U_i$  to  $U_j$  at  $k^{th}$  data flow, which can achieve maximum energy efficiency.

The route selection from slave to master

Orange represents optical frequency(353THz) Green represents mmWave(60GHz)

UCIrvine Suniversity

![](_page_8_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_5.jpeg)

Route Path is [1, 4, M] Operation frequency is [60GHz, 353THz]

![](_page_8_Figure_7.jpeg)

Energy efficiency comparison (#1 slave CubeSat to Master CubeSat)

Without route selection: #1 slave CubeSat directly transmit to Master CubeSat With route selection: based on maximum energy efficiency, Route Path is [1, 4, M]

UCIrvine Suniversity

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

The route selection from slave to slave

UCIrvine Suniversity

#0 slave CubeSat to #4 slave CubeSat |#1 slave CubeSat to #2 slave CubeSat |#3 slave CubeSat to #0 slave CubeSat

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

Energy efficiency comparison (#0 slave CubeSat to #4 slave CubeSat)

Without route selection: #0 slave CubeSat directly transmit to #4 slave CubeSat With route selection: based on maximum energy efficiency, Route Path is [0, M, 4]

UCIrvine Suniversity

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

### #3 slave CubeSat to other CubeSats

#### Without route selection

UCIrvine Suniversity

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### With route selection

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Energy efficiency improvement with distance between the source CubeSat and destination CubeSat

UCIrvine Suniversity

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

The energy efficiency improvement will decrease when the distance between two CubeSats become larger

C: Route weight: Time delay

UCIrvine SUNIVERSITY

 $m$ ịn  $X_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}}^{\boldsymbol{k}}$  $T_{i,j}^k$ 

We want to find the route from satellite  $U_i$  to  $U_j$  at  $k^{th}$  time slot, which can achieve minimum time delay.

 $T_{i,j}^k = \tau_{i,j}^k + t_{i,j}^k$ 

 $\tau^k_{i,j}$ : The transmission delay of satellite  $U_i$  to  $U_j$  of  $k^{th}$  data flow  $t_{i,j}^k$  : The propagation delay of satellite  ${U_i}$  to  ${U_j}$  of  ${k^{th}}$  data flow

![](_page_14_Figure_5.jpeg)

Example two: Euclidean Distance scale  $1:10^5$ M: represent master CubeSat S1: represent the #1 slave CubeSat

### Time delay

 $|UCIrvine|^\textrm{\tiny UNIVERSITY}}_{\textrm{\tiny OF CALIFORNIA}}$ 

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

- $\eta_{i,i}$  The energy efficiency between  $U_i$  and  $U_i$  $R_{i,i}$ : The throughput of satellite  $U_i$  to  $U_i$  $P_{i,i}$ : The transmit power of satellite  $U_i$  to  $U_i$  $d_{i,i}$ : The distance from satellite  $U_i$  to  $U_i$
- $L_{i,j}$ : The loss from satellite  $U_i$  to  $U_i$
- $V_{i,i}$ : The data flow from satellite  $U_i$  to  $U_i$

Fix the operation frequency is 353THz, transmission power is 1 W

![](_page_15_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### Different data flow affect the transmission delay.

The route selection from slave to master

UCIrvine Suniversity

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

Route Path is [0, 8, M] Operation frequency is [353THz, 353THz]

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

Route Path is [1, 7, 13, 10, M] Operation frequency is [353THz, 353THz, 353THz, , 353THz]

![](_page_16_Figure_7.jpeg)

Route Path is [3, 12, 13, 10, M] Operation frequency is [353THz, 353THz, 353THz, 353THz]

Time delay comparison (#3 slave CubeSat to Master CubeSat)

UCIrvine Suniversity

Without route selection: #3 slave CubeSat directly transmit to Master CubeSat With route selection: based on maximum energy efficiency, Route Path is [3, 12, 13, 10, M]

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

UCIrvine Suniversity

(Maximum energy efficiency or minimum time delay)

## Comparison (route weight: energy efficiency and time delay)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

UCIrvine SUNIVERSITY

Route Path is [1, 7, 13, 10, M, 11, 5] Operation frequency is [353THz, 353THz, 353THz, 353THz, 353THz, 353THz]

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

Route Path is [3, 12, 13, 10, M, 8, 0] Operation frequency is [353THz, 353THz, 353THz, 353THz, 353THz, 353THz]

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

Route Path is [1, 7, 13, 10, M, 5] Operation frequency is [353THz, 353THz, 353THz, Operation frequency is [353THz, 353THz, 353THz, 353THz]

Route Path is [3, 12, 13, 10, M, 0] 353THz, 353THz, 353THz]

The route selections are different when we consider different weight for route selection

Route selection based on minimum time delay will select less hop than route selection based on maximum energy efficiency.

energy efficiency and time delay

Route selection with consideration of energy efficiency and time delay together

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n& \underset{\mathbf{x}_{i,j}}{\text{Max}} & \eta_{i,j} \\
\text{s.t} & T_{i,j} \leq \Delta T\n\end{array}
$$

Derivation:

UCIrvine SUNIVERSITY

$$
\eta_{i,j} = \frac{R_{i,j}}{P_{i,j}} = \frac{G_{T}G_{R}L_{i,j}}{k_{s}T_{s}(E_{b}/N_{0})}
$$
\n
$$
T_{i,j} = \frac{V_{i,j}}{R_{i,j}} + \frac{d_{i,j}}{c} = \frac{V_{i,j}}{\frac{G_{T}G_{R}P_{i,j}L_{i,j}}{k_{s}T_{s}(E_{b}/N_{0})}} + \frac{d_{i,j}}{c}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{V_{i,j}}{\eta_{i,j}P_{i,j}} + \frac{d_{i,j}}{c} \leq \Delta T
$$
\n
$$
\eta_{i,j} \geq \frac{cV_{i,j}}{P_{i,j}(c\Delta T - d_{i,j})}
$$

 $\eta_{i,j}$  The energy efficiency between  $U_i$  and  $U_j$  $R_{i,i}$ : The throughput of satellite  $U_i$  to  $U_i$  $P_{i,i}$ : The transmit power of satellite  $U_i$  to  $U_i$  $d_{i,j}$ : The distance from satellite  $U_i$  to  $U_j$  $L_{i,j}$ : The loss from satellite  $U_i$  to  $U_j$  $V_{i,i}$ : The data volume from satellite  $U_i$  to  $U_i$  $\Delta T$ : The maximum time delay from satellite  $U_i$  to  $U_i$ 

Based on maximum time delay constraint, we can find the minimum boundary for energy efficiency

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n & \text{Min} & T_{i,j}^k \\
 & x_{i,j}^k \\
 & \eta_{i,j}^k \ge \eta_{min}\n\end{array}
$$

Due to the duality, based on minimum energy efficiency constraint, we can find the maximum boundary for time delay.

UCIrvine Suniversity

When we fix the data flow and transmission power, energy efficiency and time delay are likely to be reciprocal

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

Large energy efficiency achieve the small time delay. Large time delay achieve small energy efficiency

# **Conclusion**

UCIrvine Suniversity

- 1. Energy efficiency will have different threshold when we consider circuit power consumption or not
- 2. Based on maximum energy efficiency, we can find the optimal route in CubeSat swarm and achieve improvement of energy efficiency for CubeSat swarm
- 3. Based on minimum time delay, we can find the optimal route in CubeSat swarm and achieve decrease of time delay for CubeSat swarm
- 4. Route selection will be different when considering minimum time delay with different transmission data volume
- 5. Route selection will be different when considering maximum energy efficiency or minimum time delay

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

UCIrvine SUNIVERSITY

- Consider the queue, data storage and service processes of CubeSats (Markov states)
- Consider the route selection from CubeSat swarm to ground stations
- Based on real data and using machine learning to choose optimal route for CubeSats

# Reference

[1] E. Bonabeau, M. Dorigo, and G. Theraulaz, Swarm Intelligence: From Natural to Artificial Systems, Oxford University Press, New York, NY, USA, 1999

[2] E. Vassev, R. Sterritt, C. Rouff, and M. Hinchey, "Swarm technology at NASA: building resilient systems," IT Professional, vol. 14, no. 2, Article ID 6138842, pp. 36–42, 2012

[3] P. D'Arrigo and S. Santandrea, "The APIES mission to explore the asteroid belt," Advances in Space Research, vol. 38, no. 9, pp. 2060–2067, 2006.

[4] N. Saeed, A. Elzanaty, H. Almorad, H. Dahrouj, T. Y. Al-Naffouri and M. -S. Alouini, "CubeSat Communications: Recent Advances and Future Challenges," in IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 1839-1862, thirdquarter 2020, doi: 10.1109/COMST.2020.2990499.

[5] M. Chen, R. Chai and Q. Chen, "Joint Route Selection and Resource Allocation Algorithm for Data Relay Satellite Systems Based on Energy Efficiency Optimization," 2019 11th International Conference on Wireless Communications and Signal Processing (WCSP), Xi'an, China, 2019, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/WCSP.2019.8928107.

[6] A. Golkar and I. Lluch i Cruz, "The Federated Satellite Systems paradigm: Concept and business case evaluation," Acta Astron, vol. 111, pp. 230-248, Jun. 2015.

[7] Y. Lv, C. Xing, N. Xu, X. Han and F. Wang, "Research of Adaptive Routing Scheme for LEO Network," 2019 IEEE 5th International Conference on Computer and Communications (ICCC), Chengdu, China, 2019, pp. 987-992, doi: 10.1109/ICCC47050.2019.9064312.

[8] W. Peng, Y. Jian, C. Zhi-gang and W. Jing-lin, "Dynamic Source Routing algorithm in low-earth orbit Satellite Constellation," 2006 International Conference on Communication Technology, Guilin, 2006, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/ICCT.2006.341754

[9] J.V. Siles, K.B. Cooper, C. Lee, R. Lin, G. Chattopadhyay, I. Mehdi, A new generation of room-temperature frequency multiplied sources with up to 10x higher output power in the 160 ghz-1.6 thz range, IEEE Trans. Terahertz Sci. Technol.(2018), doi: 10.1109/TTHZ.2018.2876620.

[10] I. F. Akyildiz, J. M. Jornet, and S. Nie, "A new CubeSat design with reconfigurable multi-band radios for dynamic spectrum satellite communication networks," Ad Hoc Networks, vol. 86, pp. 166 – 178, 2019.