

Adaptive Modulation Using Multi-Objective Reinforcement Learning for LEO Satellites

Graciela Corral Briones, Martín Ayarde, Adrián Ramirez and Felipe Pasquevich

UNC- CONAE - Argentina

21-23 June 2021

Geometrical representation of the LEO satellite orbit.

$$
\theta(t) = \frac{2\pi t}{T} + \theta_i \tag{1}
$$

$$
\mathcal{T} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{H^3}{\mu}},\tag{2}
$$

$$
d = \sqrt{x^2 + (y - R_e)^2}
$$
 (3)

$$
x(t) = H \sin(\theta(t))
$$
\n
$$
y(t) = H \cos(\theta(t))
$$
\n(4)

$$
\text{FSPL} = 20 \log \left(\frac{4 \pi d f}{c} \right) \tag{6}
$$

$$
\frac{C}{N_o} = EIRP - FSPL + \frac{G}{T} - 10 \log(k)
$$
 (7)

$$
\frac{E_b}{N_o} = 10^{(\frac{C}{N_o} - 10 \log{(R_b)})/10}
$$
\n(8)

$$
BER \approx \frac{2}{N} Q\left(\sqrt{2N\frac{E_b}{N_o}} sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2^N}\right)\right). \tag{9}
$$

[Model](#page-1-0) [MOP](#page-6-0) [ISQ](#page-9-0) [TLQ](#page-13-0) [Results](#page-15-0) BER for a LEO GS downlink with a line of sight communication.

Table 1: Simulation parameters

Scalarization:

$$
r = r_1 w_1 + r_2 w_2 \tag{10}
$$

conditioned to :

$$
w_1 + w_2 = 1 \tag{11}
$$

To avoid the weighted sum limitation we can define a new scalarization function that computes the inverse of the weighted sum.

$$
r(r_1, r_2) = \frac{1}{r_1 w_1 + r_2 w_2} \quad \text{and} \quad w_1 + w_2 = 1 \tag{12}
$$

[Model](#page-1-0) [MOP](#page-6-0) [ISQ](#page-9-0) [TLQ](#page-13-0) [Results](#page-15-0) Inverse scalarization function at time interval from AOS to LOS. The maximum r_{max} is displayed in the black curve.

• Markov Decision Process

$$
Q(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow Q(s_t, a_t)(1-\alpha)[r_{t+1} + \gamma \max_{a} Q(s_{t+1}, a)] \tag{13}
$$

Multi-armed Bandit Problem

$$
Q(a_t) \leftarrow Q(a_t)(1-\alpha) + \alpha[r_{t+1} + \gamma \max_{a} Q(a)] \qquad (14)
$$

$$
s = \text{Satellite position}
$$

\n
$$
a = \{QPSK, 8PSK, 16PSK, 32PSK\}
$$

\n
$$
r = \frac{1}{r_1 w_1 + r_2 w_2} \quad \text{and} \quad w_1 + w_2 = 1
$$

• Episodes

 $N = 823$ time steps, beginning at AOS and ending at LOS

• Returns

$$
G = \sum_{k=0}^{N} r_k \tag{15}
$$

$$
G_r = \frac{G - G_{min}}{G_{max} - G_{min}} \tag{16}
$$

- Policy
	- ϵ greedy

$$
CQ(s, a)j = min(Q(s, a)j, Cj)
$$
 (17)

Function:

Superior($CQ(s, a'), CQ(s, a), 1$) a' is true for all the actions a .


```
def Superior(CQ, i):
if CQ[2*(i-1)] > CQ[2*i-1]:
    return True
e lif CQ[2*(i-1)] = CQ[2*i-1]:
    if i=numberOfObjectives:
         return True
    else :
         return Superior (CQ, i+1)e l s e :
    return False
```


Returns Multi-armed Bandit and Markov Decisión Proccess

Episode

1000

 0.5 0.4 \ddot{o} 200 400 600 800

- After analytically examining the weighted sum approach, we see it is not useful for our proposed case because the intermediate solutions of the objective pairs are not found, as a consequence of the non-convex Pareto front constraint.
- By performing scalarization transformations and assigning the appropriate reward to the learning agent by choosing weights, the inverse scalarization function allows us to obtain the solutions for the four digital modulation techniques.
- On the other hand, the TLQ algorithm starts by choosing the best modulation prioritizing the BER (i.e., QPSK) and once the threshold is reached, it randomly chooses the next modulation, until returning back to the previous same.